UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/534,830	05/13/2005	Kia Silverbrook	MJT001USNP	9040
	7590 03/22/200 OK RESEARCH PTY L	EXAMINER		
393 DARLING	STREET	STEPHENS, JUANITA DIONNE		
BALMAIN, 2041 AUSTRALIA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2853	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS 03/22/2007		PAP	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

M			
•	Applicant(s)		
	SILVERBROOK, KIA ET AL.		
	Art Unit		
nens	2853	·_ ·	
r sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	Idress	
PIRE <u>3</u> MONTH(3 OMMUNICATION vever, may a reply be tim		0) DAYS,	
SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONEI ation, even if timely filed		ommunication.	
<u>/2005</u> .	·		
eal.			
rmal matters, pro	secution as to the	e merits is	
1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.		
	•	٠.	
• •			
ration.			
ement.		• 10	
		•	
o)	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
	jected to. See 37 Cl		
e attached Office	Action or form P1	ГО-152.	
		•	
5 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).		
eived.			
eived in Application			
	ed in this National	Stage	
2(a)).			
opies not receive	.d.		

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/534,830	SILVERBROOK, KIA ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Juanita D. Stephens	2853					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir  will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from  e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status	•						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on Appl	lication filed 5/13/2005.	·					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa							
closed in accordance with the practice under the	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-47</u> is/are pending in the application							
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-47</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.	• 0					
Application Papers							
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	or.						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
	10) The drawing(s) filed on 13 May 2005 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E							
,	Namino. Hoto tilo attachoa omoc						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage</li> </ul>							
application from the International Burea	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed					
		·					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/9/06, 5/13/05.</li> </ul>	ate Patent Application						

Art Unit: 2853

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Information Disclosure Statement

Acknowledgement is made of the Information Disclosure Statement filed
 11/9/2006 and 5/13/2005.

## Specification

2. Te disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

The "CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS" section is missing.

Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-3, 5, 7, 9, 16-19, 21, 23, 25, 32-36, 38, 40, and 47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kubby (US 5,706,041).

Kubby discloses a method of ejecting a drop of an ejectable liquid from a printhead and a printer system incorporating said printhead, (Fig. 2), comprising: 1) a plurality of nozzles (col 3, lns 13-15), 2) at least one heater element (col 3, lns 64-67)corresponding to each nozzle, wherein each heater element is in the form of a suspended beam (18) (col 3, lns 50-51), arranged for being suspended over at least a portion of a bubble forming liquid so as to be in thermal contact therewith, and each heater element configured to heat at least part of the bubble forming liquid to a

Art Unit: 2853

temperature above its boiling point to form a gas bubble therein thereby to cause the ejection of a drop of the bubble forming liquid through the nozzle corresponding to that heater element (col 3, lns 64-67), 3) being configured to support the bubble forming liquid in thermal contact with each said heater element, and to support the ejectable liquid adjacent each nozzle (Fig. 5), 4) wherein the bubble forming liquid (ink) and the ejectable liquid (ink) are of common body of liquid (common to inkjet printheads utilizes heaters), 5) wherein each heater element is in the form of a cantilever beam (18)(col 3. Ins 50-51), 6) configured to receive a supply of the bubble forming liquid at an ambient temperature, wherein each heater element is configured such that the energy required to be applied thereto to heat said part to cause the ejection of said drop is less than the energy required to heat a volume of said bubble forming liquid equal to the volume of said drop, from a temperature equal to said ambient temperature to said boiling point, 7) wherein each heater wherein each heater element has two opposite sides and is configured such that said gas bubble formed by that heater element is formed at both of said sides of that heater element (abstract; col 4, lns 47-65; col 5, lns 8-16), and 8) wherein each heater is substantially covered by a conformal protective coating, such that the coating is seamless (col 4, lns 11-17).

The method of claims 33-36, 38, 40, and 47 are disclosed in Kubby as discussed above with respect to the apparatus.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2853

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. Claims 4, 6, 10-12, 20, 22, 26-28, 37, 41-43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kubby (US 5,06,041) in view of Silverbrook (US 6,019,457).

Kubby discloses a method of ejecting a drop of an ejectable liquid from a printhead and a printer system incorporating said printhead, (Fig. 2), comprising: 1) a plurality of nozzles (col 3, Ins 13-15), 2) at least one heater element corresponding to each nozzle, wherein each heater element is in the form of a suspended beam (col 3. Ins 50-51), arranged for being suspended over at least a portion of a bubble forming liquid so as to be in thermal contact therewith, and each heater element configured to heat at least part of the bubble forming liquid to a temperature above its boiling point to form a gas bubble therein thereby to cause the ejection of a drop of the bubble forming liquid through the nozzle corresponding to that heater element, 3) being configured to support the bubble forming liquid in thermal contact with each said heater element, and to support the ejectable liquid adjacent each nozzle (Fig. 5), 4) wherein the bubble forming liquid (ink) and the ejectable liquid (ink) are of common body of liquid (common to inkjet printheads utilizes heaters), 5) wherein each heater element is in the form of a cantilever beam (col 3, Ins 50-51), 6) configured to receive a supply of the bubble forming liquid at an ambient temperature, wherein each heater element is configured such that the energy required to be applied thereto to heat said part to cause the ejection of said drop is less than the energy required to heat a volume of said bubble forming liquid equal to the volume of said drop, from a temperature equal to said

Art Unit: 2853

ambient temperature to said boiling point, **7)** wherein each heater wherein each heater element has two opposite sides and is configured such that said gas bubble formed by that heater element is formed at both of said sides of that heater element (abstract; col 4, lns 47-65; col 5, lns 8-16), and **8)** wherein each heater is substantially covered by a conformal protective coating, such that the coating is seamless (col 4, lns 11-17).

Kubby et al. does not disclose 1) a page-width printhead, 2) wherein each heater has an actuation energy of less than 500 nanojoules (nJ), 3) wherein the bubble which each heater element is configured to form is collapsible and has a point of collapse, and wherein each heater element is configured such that the point of collapse of a bubble formed thereby is spaced from the heater element, 4) a structure that is formed by chemical vapor deposition (CVD), said nozzles being incorporated in the structure, 5) a structure which is less than 10 microns thick, said nozzles being incorporated in the structure. Silverbrook at least teaches a page-width printhead (col 2, lns 19-20), wherein each heater (120) has an actuation energy of less than 500 nanojoules (nJ) (col 19. Ins 8-10), wherein the bubble which each heater element is configured to form is collapsible and has a point of collapse, and wherein each heater element is configured such that the point of collapse of a bubble formed thereby is spaced from the heater element (as shown by the shape of the heater element 120 in Fig. 10-12), a structure (overcoat 142) that is formed by chemical vapor deposition (CVD) said nozzles being incorporated in the structure (col 8, lns 65-66), and a structure which is less than 10 microns thick, said nozzles being incorporated in the structure (col 9, lns 8-10). It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill

Art Unit: 2853

in the inkjet art to modify Kubby with the structure as taught to be old by Silverbrook for the purpose of providing mechanical strength to resist the shock of exploding or collapsing vapor bubbles and providing protection against the external environment.

The method of claims 37, and 41-43 are disclosed in Kubby in view of Silverbrook as discussed above with respect to the apparatus.

7. Claims 8, 24 and 39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kubby (US 5,706,041) in view of Feinn et al. (US 6,543,879 B1).

Kubby teaches the claimed invention, with the exception of the areal density of the nozzles relative to the substrate surface exceeding 10,00 nozzles per square cm of substrate surface. Feinn et al. discloses the areal density of the nozzles relative to the substrate surface exceeding 10,00 nozzles per square cm of substrate surface (abstract; col 16, lns 11-13). It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the inkjet art to modify Kubby with the areal density as taught to be old by Feinn et al. for the purpose of improving resolution.

The method of claim 39 is disclosed in Kubby in view of Feinn et al. as discussed above with respect to the apparatus.

8. Claims 13, 29 and 44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kubby (US 5,706,041) in view of Komuro (US 4,965,594).

Kubby. teaches the claimed invention, with the exception of the heater being formed on different layers. Komuro at least teaches an inkjet print head having a heater that is formed in a plurality of different layers (col 3, lns 35-65, as seen in Fig. 1). It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having

Art Unit: 2853

ordinary skill in the ink jet art to modify Kubby by providing a heater that is formed in a plurality of different layers as taught to be old by Komuro for the purpose of enabling drops of different sizes to be ejected in order to produce a gradated recording.

The method of claim 44 are disclosed in Kubby in view of Komuro as discussed above with respect to the apparatus.

9. Claims 14, 30, and 45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kubby (US 65,706,041) in view of The Fabrication of Reliability Testing of Ti/TiN Heaters (DeMoor).

Kubby teaches the claimed invention, with the exception of each heater element is formed of solid material more than 90% of which, by atomic proportion, is constituted by at least one periodic element having an atomic number below 50. DeMoor at least teaches that it is desirable to use a heater made of Ti/TiN (Ti has an atomic number of 22) in integrated MEMS systems (a thermal inkjet is such a system), because the material provide the advantages of CMOS fabrications (low cost and uniformity) in combination with a very high reliability (see Conclusion). It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the inkjet art to modify Kubby by providing the Ti/TiN heater as taught to be old by DeMoor, for the purpose of providing advantages of CMOS fabrication in combination with high reliability.

The method of claim 45 is disclosed in Kubby in view of DeMoor as discussed above with respect to the apparatus.

Art Unit: 2853

10. Claims 15, 31, and 46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kubby (US 5,706,041) in view of Yamashita et al. (US 5,969,005).

Kubby teaches the claimed invention, with the exception of wherein each heater element is configured for a mass of less than 10 nanograms. Yamashita et al. at least teaches that the ink is jetted at an output of from 1 to 70 nanograms per droplet to effect recording (abstract, col 30, lns 29-32, lns 38-40; col 31, lns 18-22). It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the ink jet art to modify Kubby with the output of 1 to 70 nanograms per droplet to effect recording as taught to be old by Yamashita et al. for the purpose of providing a greater surface area of the droplet, thus strongly improving image quality.

The method of claim 46 is disclosed in Kubby in view of Yamashita et al. as discussed above with respect to the apparatus.

Feinn et al. (US 6,543,879) B1.

## **Double Patenting**

11. A nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to

Art Unit: 2853

be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

12. Claims 1, 4-17, 20-33, 36-47 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim1-41 of U.S. Patent No. 6,755,509 B2. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because US Patent no. 6,755,509 B2 discloses 1) a plurality of nozzles, at least one heater element corresponding to each nozzle, wherein each heater element is in the form of a suspended beam, arranged for being suspended over at least a portion of a bubble forming liquid so as to be in thermal contact therewith, and each heater element configured to heat at least part of the bubble forming liquid to a temperature above its boiling point to form a gas bubble therein thereby to cause the ejection of a drop of the bubble forming liquid through the nozzle corresponding to that heater element (claim 1, col 25, Ins 10-17, Ins 20-26).

Claim 2 patent teaches claim 4 of application, Claim 3 patent teaches claim 5 of application, Claim 4 patent teaches claim 6 of application, Claim 5 patent teaches claim 7 of application, Claim 6 patent teaches claim 8 of application, Claim 7 patent teaches claim 9 of application, Claim 8 patent teaches claim 10 of application, Claim 9 patent teaches claim 11 of application, Claim 10 patent teaches claim 12 of application, Claim 11 patent teaches claim 13 of application, Claim 12 patent teaches claim 14 of application, Claim 13 patent teaches claim 15 of application, Claim 14 patent teaches claim 16 of application, Claim 15 patent teaches claim 17 of application, Claim 16 patent teaches claim 20 of application, Claim 17 patent teaches claim 21 of application, Claim

Art Unit: 2853

18 patent teaches claim 22 of application, Claim 19 patent teaches claim 23 of application, Claim 20 patent teaches claim 24 of application, Claim 21 patent teaches claim 25 of application, Claim 22 patent teaches claim 26 of application, Claim 23 patent teaches claim 27 of application, Claim 24 patent teaches claim 28 of application, Claim 25 patent teaches claim 29 of application, Claim 26 patent teaches claim 30 of application, Claim 27 patent teaches claim 31 of application, Claim 28 patent teaches claim 32 of application, Claim 29 patent teaches claim 33 of application, Claim 30 of patent teaches claim 36 of application, Claim 31 of patent teaches claim 37 of application, Claim 32 of patent teaches claim 38 of application, Claim 33 of patent teaches claim 39 of application, Claim 34 of patent teaches claim 40 of application, Claim 35 of patent teaches claim 41 of application, Claim 36 of patent teaches claim 42 of application. Claim 37 of patent teaches claim 43 of application, Claim 38 of patent teaches claim 44 of application, Claim 39 of patent teaches claim 45 of application, Claim 40 of patent teaches claim 46 of application, and Claim 41 of patent teaches claim 47 of application.

### **Contact Information**

14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Juanita D. Stephens whose telephone number is (571) 272-2153. The examiner can normally be reached on Flex (Monday-Thursday 9:00 am -6:00 pm).

Art Unit: 2853

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen Meier can be reached on (571) 272-2149. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JUANITA D. STEPHENS PRIMARY EXAMINER

Juanita D. Stephens Primary Examiner Art Unit 2853

JDS

March 19, 2007